Chapter 1

Introduction

There is no local consensus as to which peoples in the Northern District should be regarded as Orokaiva (see Williams 1930:1-4), but all the peoples concerned recognize that they have a relatively uniform culture and speak mutually intelligible dialects of one language. The people in this culture area number at least 25,000.

Although they did not have an inclusive name for themselves until 'Orokaiva' was introduced by Europeans, they generally drew the distinctions among themselves between river people, salt water people, and inland people (umo-ke, eva'embo and periho). There are wide-spread traditional beliefs dealing with the common origins not only of these different categories of people, but also of mutually hostile tribes, the largest political units among them, and of the various dialectal groupings and local cultural variants, which correspond to a limited extent with the political division into tribes.[1]

Our study of Sivepe was designed to complement a study of land, work and productivity at Inonda village (Crocombe and Hogbin 1963) and other related studies in the area (Dakeyne 1965; Howlett 1965; Crocombe 1964; and Cheetham). We attempt no general comparison with the results of the other studies at this stage.

Because land tenure problems were central to our investigations, the groups at Inonda and Sivepe were chosen from villages in the Higaturu Native Local Government Council area which is occupied by about 12,000 of the Orokaiva. In 1956 this council adopted a scheme to register individual title to parcels of land which would be allocated by land-holding groups to individual members for use as cash-crop gardens. The scheme had encountered several

[1] See Williams 1930:155; W.47, 140, 148; O.40, 411, 486, 598, 640; Folktales Nos.45, 54. W.47,... O.40,... Folktales, etc., refer to the notation used by Williams in his fieldnotes, see Bibliography, p.121.